

The EU Framework Programme
for Research and Innovation

HORIZON 2020

H2020 Programme

Proposal template 2018-2020

Administrative forms (Part A)
Project proposal (Part B)

Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions Research and
Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE)

Version 6.0 2020

5 December 2019

Disclaimer

This Guide aims to facilitate potential applicants. It is provided for information purposes only and is not intended to replace consultation of any applicable legal sources. Neither the European Commission, nor the Research Executive Agency (or any person acting on their behalf) can be held responsible for the use made of this guidance document. The guidance provided in the Annotated Model Grant Agreement shall prevail in case of discrepancies.



History of changes

Version	Date	Change	Page
1.0	06.01.2015	▪ Initial 2015 version	-
2.0	08.12.2015	▪ Part A: At least 3 descriptors should be selected	4
3.0	01.12.2016	Part B ▪ Call year ▪ Maximum total page for document Part B.1 is 32 pages ▪ Clarification on the distinction of Dissemination and Exploitation versus Communication in sections 3.4 and 3.5 ▪ Other minor corrections	16 17 19
4.0	22.11.2017	Part B ▪ Call year ▪ Editing of the gender aspects ▪ Table B3d listing entities under a capital link ▪ Other minor corrections	3 18 25
5.0	22.11.2018	Part B ▪ Call year ▪ Other minor corrections	3
6.0	05.12.2019	Part B ▪ Call year ▪ Other minor corrections	3

Please check our [wiki](#) for help on navigating the form.

Horizon 2020

Call: H2020-MSCA-RISE-2020

(Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchange)

Topic: MSCA-RISE-2020

Type of action: MSCA-RISE

Proposal number:

Proposal acronym:

Deadline Id: H2020-MSCA-RISE-2020

Table of contents

Section	Title	Action
1	General information	
2	Participants & contacts	
3	Budget	
4	Ethics	
5	Call-specific questions	

[How to fill in the forms](#)

The administrative forms must be filled in for each proposal using the templates available in the submission system. Some data fields in the administrative forms are pre-filled based on the steps in the submission wizard.

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID

Acronym

1 - General information

Topic MSCA-RISE-2020

Type of Action MSCA-RISE

Call Identifier H2020-MSCA-RISE-2020

Deadline Id H2020-MSCA-RISE-2020

Acronym

Proposal title

Max 200 characters (with spaces). Must be understandable for non-specialists in your field.

*Note that for technical reasons, the following characters are not accepted in the Proposal Title and will be removed: < > * &*

Duration in
months

Panel

Please select up to 5 descriptors (and at least 3) that best characterise the subject of your proposal, in descending order of relevance. Note that descriptors will be used to support REA services in identifying the best qualified evaluators for your proposal.

Descriptor1

Free keywords

You may enter a number of keywords that you consider necessary to characterise the scope of your proposal. There is a limit of 200 characters.

Abstract*

Short Summary

Remaining characters

Has this proposal (or a very similar one) been submitted to a H2020-MSCA-RISE call?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID

Acronym

Declarations

1) The coordinator declares to have the explicit consent of all applicants on their participation and on the content of this proposal.	☐
2) The information contained in this proposal is correct and complete.	☐
3) This proposal complies with ethical principles (including the highest standards of research integrity — as set out, for instance, in the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity — and including, in particular, avoiding fabrication, falsification, plagiarism or other research misconduct).	☐
4) The coordinator confirms:	
- to have carried out the self-check of the financial capacity of the organisation on http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/organisations/lfv.html or to be covered by a financial viability check in an EU project for the last closed financial year. Where the result was "weak" or "insufficient", the coordinator confirms being aware of the measures that may be imposed in accordance with the H2020 Grants Manual (Chapter on Financial capacity check); or	☐
- is exempt from the financial capacity check being a public body including international organisations, higher or secondary education establishment or a legal entity, whose viability is guaranteed by a Member State or associated country, as defined in the H2020 Grants Manual (Chapter on Financial capacity check); or	☐
- as sole participant in the proposal is exempt from the financial capacity check.	☐
5) The coordinator hereby declares that each applicant has confirmed:	
- they are fully eligible in accordance with the criteria set out in the specific call for proposals; and	☐
- they have the financial and operational capacity to carry out the proposed action.	☐
The coordinator is only responsible for the correctness of the information relating to his/her own organisation. Each applicant remains responsible for the correctness of the information related to him and declared above. Where the proposal is to be retained for EU funding, the coordinator and each beneficiary applicant will be required to present a formal declaration in this respect.	

Note:

For **multi-beneficiary applications**, the coordinator vouches for its own organization and that all other participants confirmed their participation and compliance with conditions set out in the call. If the proposal is retained for funding, each participant will be required to submit a formal declaration of honour confirming this.

False statements or incorrect information may lead to administrative sanctions under the Financial Regulation 2018/1046.

Personal data will be collected, used and processed in accordance with Regulation 2018/1725 and the [Funding & Tenders Portal privacy statement](#).

Please be however aware that, to protect EU financial interests, your data may be transferred to other EU institutions and bodies and be registered in the EDES database. Data in the EDES database is also subject to Regulation 2018/1725 and the [EDES privacy statement](#).

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID	Acronym
-------------	---------

Proposal ID	Acronym
-------------	---------

2 - Participants & contacts

[illegible]

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID

Acronym

Short name

2 - Administrative data of participating organisations

Coordinator

PIC

Legal name

Short name:

Address

Street

Town

Postcode

Country

Webpage

Specific Legal Statuses

Legal personyes

Academic Sectorno

Public bodyno

Non-profitno

International organisationno

International organisation of European interestno

Secondary or Higher education establishmentno

Research organisationyes

Enterprise Data

Based on the below details from the Beneficiary Registry the organisation is not an SME (small- and medium-sized enterprise) for the call.

SME self-declared status..... unknown

SME self-assessment unknown

SME validation sme..... unknown

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID

Acronym

Short name

Department(s) carrying out the proposed work

Department 1

Department name

Name of the department/institute carrying out the work.

☐ not applicable

☐ Same as proposing organisation's address

Street

Please enter street name and number.

Town

Please enter the name of the town.

Postcode

Area code.

Country

Please select a country

Dependencies with other proposal participants

Character of dependence	Participant	

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID

Acronym

Short name

Person in charge of the proposal

The name and e-mail of contact persons are read-only in the administrative form, only additional details can be edited here. To give access rights and basic contact details of contact persons, please go back to Step 4 of the submission wizard and save the changes.

ORCID

If you have a ORCID number please enter it here (e.g. 9999-9999-9999-999X, where 9 represents numbers and X represents numbers up to 10)

Researcher ID

The maximum length of the identifier is 11 characters (ZZZ-9999-2010) and the minimum length is 9 characters (A-1001-2010).

Other ID

Please enter the type of ID here

Please enter the identifier number here

Title

Sex

☐ Male

☐ Female

First name

Last name

E-Mail

Position in org.

Please indicate the position of the Contact Point above in the organisation.

Department

Name of the department/institute carrying out the work.

☐ Same as organisation name

☐ Same as organisation address

Street

Please enter street name and number.

Town

Please enter the name of the town.

Post code

Area code.

Country

Please select a country

Website

Phone

+XXX XXXXXXXXX

Phone 2

+XXX XXXXXXXXX

Fax

+XXX XXXXXXXXX

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID

Acronym

3 - Budget

Add a new secondment or update an existing one by filling the below information

Staff Member			Sending Organisation				Seconded to Organisation				Work Package Number	Secondment Starting Month	Duration of Secondment (Researcher-Months)
No	ID	Profile	Short Name	Country	Region	Academic Sector	Short Name	Country	Region	Academic Sector			
1													

Table A3.1 – List of secondments: 0 of 1

1													
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table A3.2 – Summary of secondments per participant (Beneficiaries + Partner Organisations)

Participant Number	Organisation Short Name	Country	Academic	Number of secondments	Person-months	Estimated budget support (whole duration of the project)				Requested EU contribution
						Staff member costs	Research, training and networking costs	Management and indirect costs	Total	
				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total				0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID

Acronym

4 - Ethics

1. HUMAN EMBRYOS/FOETUSES		Page
Does your research involve Human Embryonic Stem Cells (hESCs) ?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Does your research involve the use of human embryos?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Does your research involve the use of human foetal tissues / cells?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
2. HUMANS		Page
Does your research involve human participants?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Does your research involve physical interventions on the study participants?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
3. HUMAN CELLS / TISSUES		Page
Does your research involve human cells or tissues (other than from Human Embryos/Foetuses, i.e. section 1)?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
4. PERSONAL DATA		Page
Does your research involve personal data collection and/or processing?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Does your research involve further processing of previously collected personal data (secondary use)?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
5. ANIMALS		Page
Does your research involve animals?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
6. THIRD COUNTRIES		Page
In case non-EU countries are involved, do the research related activities undertaken in these countries raise potential ethics issues?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Do you plan to use local resources (e.g. animal and/or human tissue samples, genetic material, live animals, human remains, materials of historical value, endangered fauna or flora samples, etc.)?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Do you plan to import any material - including personal data - from non-EU countries into the EU?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Do you plan to export any material - including personal data - from the EU to non-EU countries?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
In case your research involves low and/or lower middle income countries , are any benefits-sharing actions planned?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Could the situation in the country put the individuals taking part in the research at risk?	☐ Yes ☒ No	

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID

Acronym

7. ENVIRONMENT & HEALTH and SAFETY		Page
Does your research involve the use of elements that may cause harm to the environment, to animals or plants?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Does your research deal with endangered fauna and/or flora and/or protected areas?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Does your research involve the use of elements that may cause harm to humans, including research staff?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
8. DUAL USE		Page
Does your research involve dual-use items in the sense of Regulation 428/2009, or other items for which an authorisation is required?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
9. EXCLUSIVE FOCUS ON CIVIL APPLICATIONS		Page
Could your research raise concerns regarding the exclusive focus on civil applications?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
10. MISUSE		Page
Does your research have the potential for misuse of research results?	☐ Yes ☒ No	
11. OTHER ETHICS ISSUES		Page
Are there any other ethics issues that should be taken into consideration? Please specify	☐ Yes ☒ No	

I confirm that I have taken into account all ethics issues described above and that, if any ethics issues apply, I will complete the ethics self-assessment and attach the required documents. ☐

[How to Complete your Ethics Self-Assessment](#)

Proposal Submission Forms

Proposal ID

Acronym

5 - Call specific questions

Extended Open Research Data Pilot in Horizon 2020

If selected, applicants will by default participate in the [Pilot on Open Research Data in Horizon 2020](#)¹, which aims to improve and maximise access to and re-use of research data generated by actions.

However, participation in the Pilot is flexible in the sense that it does not mean that all research data needs to be open. After the action has started, participants will formulate a [Data Management Plan \(DMP\)](#), which should address the relevant aspects of making data FAIR – findable, accessible, interoperable and re-usable, including what data the project will generate, whether and how it will be made accessible for verification and re-use, and how it will be curated and preserved. Through this DMP projects can define certain datasets to remain closed according to the principle “as open as possible, as closed as necessary”. A Data Management Plan does not have to be submitted at the proposal stage.

Furthermore, applicants also have the possibility to opt out of this Pilot completely at any stage (before or after the grant signature). In this case, applicants must indicate a reason for this choice (see options below).

Please note that participation in this Pilot does not constitute part of the evaluation process. Proposals will not be penalised for opting out.

We wish to opt out of the Pilot on Open Research Data in Horizon 2020.

☐ Yes

☒ No

Further guidance on open access and research data management is available on the Funding & Tenders portal: http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/docs/h2020-funding-guide/cross-cutting-issues/open-access-dissemination_en.htm and in general annex L of the Work Programme.

¹ According to article 43.2 of Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013, laying down the rules for participation and dissemination in “Horizon 2020 - the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020)” and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1906/2006.

START PAGE

Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions

Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE)
Call: H2020-MSCA-RISE-2020

PART B

"PROPOSAL ACRONYM"

In drafting PART B of the proposal, applicants must follow the structure outlined below.

DOCUMENT 1 (MAX 32 PAGES)

START PAGE (MAX 1 page)

1 TABLE of CONTENTS (MAX 1 page)

START PAGE COUNT (MAX 30 PAGES SECTIONS 2-4)

2. EXCELLENCE (*starting page 3*)

3. IMPACT

4. QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION

STOP PAGE COUNT (MAX 30 PAGES SECTIONS 2-4)

DOCUMENT 2 (NO OVERALL PAGE LIMIT APPLIED)

5. REFERENCES

6. CAPACITIES OF THE PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS

7. ETHICS ASPECTS

8. LETTERS OF COMMITMENT OF TC PARTNER ORGANISATIONS

END PAGE (1 page)

Please note that:

- Applicants must ensure that document 1 does not exceed the total page limit of maximum 32 pages (1 start page + 1 table of contents page + 30 pages for Sections 2-4).
- No reference to the outcome of previous evaluations of this or any similar proposal should be included in the text. The expert evaluators will be strictly instructed to disregard any such references.

1. Table of Contents

START PAGE COUNT – MAX 30 PAGES

2. Excellence

2.1 Quality and credibility of the research/innovation action; level of novelty and appropriate consideration of inter/multidisciplinary, intersectoral and gender aspects

Please develop your proposal according to the following lines:

- *Specific objectives and the relevance of the research and innovation action including its potential for scientific breakthroughs in relation to the "state-of-the-art". The methodology, transfer of knowledge, secondments, training, dissemination, work plan, etc. described in the rest of the proposal must relate to research and innovation objectives described in this section.*
- *Methodological approach: detail the research and innovation activities proposed and their originality.*
- *Inter/multidisciplinary types of knowledge involved, where applicable.*
- *Consideration will be made of how the proposed RISE project promotes gender equality by encouraging equal opportunities for male and female staff involved in teams and in decision making according to the policy goals in Horizon 2020. Where applicable, gender aspects in research activities where human beings are involved as subjects or end-users, gender differences may exist. In these cases the gender dimension in the research content has to be addressed adequately.*

Table B1 – Work Package (WP) List¹

Work Package No	Work Package Title	Activity Type (e.g. Research, Training, Management, Communication, Dissemination...)	Number of person-months involved per secondment ²	Lead Beneficiary	Start Month	End month

The title of the scientific WPs should give a good idea of the scope of the research/innovation objectives of that WP.

¹ A work package is defined as a major subdivision of the proposed project

² The same person-month should not be declared in multiple WPs

2.2 Quality and appropriateness of knowledge sharing among the participating organisations in light of the research and innovation objectives

Please develop your proposal according to the following line:

- Approach and methodology used for knowledge sharing (secondments, workshops/trainings/conferences, etc.). It should be clear how the knowledge sharing will directly contribute to achieving the aims of the research and innovation activities described in section 2.1.

2.3 Quality of the proposed interaction between the participating organisations

Please develop your proposal according to the following lines:

- Contribution of each participating organisation in the activities planned and expertise provided to reach the action's objectives, with particular emphasis on the scientific objectives described in section 2.1.
- Justification of the main networking activities.

3. Impact

3.1 Enhancing the potential and future career prospects of the staff members

Please develop your proposal according to the following line:

- Describe how the action contributes to realising the potential of individuals and provides new skills, enhances their knowledge and career perspectives.

3.2 Developing new and lasting research collaborations, achieving transfer of knowledge between participating organisations and contribution to improving research and innovation potential at the European and global levels

Please develop your proposal according to the following lines:

- Describe the development and sustainability of new and lasting research collaborations resulting from the intersectoral and/or international secondments and the networking activities implemented.
- Describe how the project will generate knowledge transfer that will benefit the participating organisations in the long term.
- Describe the contribution of the action to the improvement of the research and innovation potential within Europe and/or worldwide.

3.3 Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the action results

Please develop your proposal according to the following lines:

- *Describe the dissemination strategy of the results - targeted at peers (scientific or the action's own community, industry and other commercial actors, professional organisations, policymakers) and to the wider research and innovation community - to achieve the potential impact of the action. Please provide adequate details and sufficient arguments for the choices of your planned activities.*
- *Elaborate on how results (when available) will be taken up/used (e.g. proposed exploitation, commercial application, dissemination measures).*
- *Expected impact of the proposed measures (e.g. addressing societal needs/challenges).*
- *Indicate intellectual property rights aspects (if applicable) and exploitation of results.*

3.4 Quality of the proposed measures to communicate the action activities to different target audiences

Please develop your proposal according to the following lines:

- *Describe the communication strategy of the project and its results, outreach plan and the activities envisaged to engage the public. Please provide adequate details and sufficient arguments for the choices of your planned activities.*
- *Consider how activities will be targeted at multiple audiences, beyond the action's own community (including the media and the public).*
- *From the beginning of the project, indicate which channel(s) will be used to inform and reach out to society, and to show the benefits of research.*
- *Elaborate on the expected impact of the proposed activities.*

⚠ Important! The following sections of the European Charter for Researchers refer specifically to outreach and dissemination:

Communication

Researchers should ensure that their research activities – both the action and, when available, its results – are made known to society at large in such a way that they can be understood by non-specialists, thereby improving the public's understanding of science. Direct engagement with the public will help researchers to better understand public interest in priorities for science and technology and also the public's concerns.

Dissemination and exploitation

All researchers should ensure, in compliance with their contractual arrangements, that the results of their research are disseminated (in line with H2020 open access policy) and exploited, e.g. communicated, transferred into other research settings or, if appropriate, commercialised. Senior researchers, in particular, are expected to take a lead in ensuring that research is fruitful and that results are either exploited commercially or made accessible to the public (or both) whenever the opportunity arises.

4. Quality and efficiency of the implementation

Please note that the principles of the European Charter for Researchers and Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers promoting open recruitment and attractive working conditions are recommended to be endorsed and applied by all the funded participating organisations in the MSCA.

In all cases, the Beneficiaries must take all specific steps and measures to implement the principles set out in the European Charter for Researchers³ and the Code of Conduct for their Recruitment⁴.

4.1 Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan, including appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources

Please develop your proposal according to the following lines:

- *Consistency and adequacy of the work plan and the activities proposed to reach the action objectives (research/innovation activities, training, transfer of knowledge, etc.).*
- *Credibility and feasibility of the action through the activities proposed.*
- *Credibility and feasibility of the allocation of secondments proposed to reach the action objectives (research/innovation activities, training, transfer of knowledge, etc.).*

 **Important!** Please read this section carefully as there is information on what is understood as WPs, tasks, deliverables, and milestones. Also, Tables provided to include as part of your description (Tables B2, B3a, B3b).

³ Available at <https://euraxess.ec.europa.eu/jobs/charter/european-charter>

⁴ Available at https://euraxess.ec.europa.eu/jobs/charter/code_of_conduct

Table B2: Work Package Description

Work Package Number	"X*"				Start/End Month⁵		___/___
Work Package Title	(e.g. relevant title reflecting the R&I goals, Training, Transfer of knowledge activities, Management, Communication, Dissemination, etc.)						
Lead Beneficiary⁶							
Participating organisation Short Name**							
Total Person Months per Participating organisation:							
Objectives: <i>explain the main objectives of the WP (e.g. R&I, Training, Transfer of Knowledge (Through secondments, After secondments /Through reintegration)</i>							
Description of Work and Role of Specific Beneficiaries / Partner organisations broken down and listed into numbered tasks including the following details: Task "X.1" <i>Total number of Person Months allocated to secondments= " _ " :</i> <i>Brief description of the task in terms of relevant information concerning the specific activity/goal, the leading organisation of the task, the role(s) of the participating organisation(s), the profiles of the involved staff members, etc.</i> Task "X.X" <i>...</i>							
Description of Deliverables: <i>- provide a brief description of the planned deliverables that is consistent with the deliverables to be listed from all WPs in Table B3a</i> <i>- i.e. consider consolidating the above listed tasks into a reasonable number of concrete outcomes (scientific and/or management, training and dissemination deliverables)</i>							

*Add a table for each work package with a number

**The participating organisation short name and person-months allocated to each participating organisation should be coherent with the tables in Part A of the proposal.

Deliverables List

A **deliverable** is a distinct output of the action, meaningful in terms of the action's overall objectives and constituted by a report, a document, a technical diagram, a software, training, conference, etc. The number of deliverables in a given Work Package must be reasonable and commensurate with the Work Package content and the associated secondments. Deliverables shall be encoded in Table B3a. Table B3a requires that deliverables should be divided into (a) scientific deliverables (i.e.

⁵ **Start/End Month** refers to months of the project not calendar months

⁶ A "lead Beneficiary" must be a Beneficiary (= organisation established in a MS/AC) and cannot be a TC Partner organisation

scientific and technical content specific to the action) and (b) management, training exploitation, dissemination and communication deliverables.

⚠ Important! The secondments encoded in Part A should NOT be entered in this deliverable Table B3a. Moreover, note that the Grant Agreement requires yearly reporting by the consortium to follow-up implementation and to process requests for payments. Please include these reports (e.g. for a 48 month-project, year 1 and 3 progress reports) as managerial deliverables.

Table B3a – Deliverables list

Scientific Deliverables						
Deliverable Number⁷	Deliverable Title	WP No.	Lead Beneficiary Short Name⁸	Type⁹	Dissemination Level¹⁰	Due Date¹¹
Management, Training, and Dissemination Deliverables						
Deliverable Number	Deliverable Title	WP No.	Lead Beneficiary Short Name¹²	Type	Dissemination Level	Due Date

Milestones List

Milestones are control points in the action that help to chart progress. Milestones may correspond to the completion of a key achievement, allowing the next phase of the work to begin. Milestone shall be encoded in Table B3b. They may also be needed at intermediary points so that, if problems have arisen, corrective measures can be taken. A milestone may be a critical decision point in the action where, for example, the consortium must decide which of several technologies to adopt for further development. In principle milestones should not be repetitions of deliverables already defined in Table B3a.

⁷ Deliverable numbers in order of delivery dates. Please use the numbering convention <WP number>.<number of deliverable within that WP>. For example, deliverable 4.2 would be the second deliverable from Work Package 4.

⁸ A "lead Beneficiary" must be a Beneficiary (= organisation established in a MS/AC) and cannot be a TC Partner organisation

⁹ Please indicate the nature of the deliverable using one of the following codes:

R = Document, report (excluding periodic and final reports); **ADM** = Administrative (ethics/legal/administrative related outputs); **PDE** = dissemination and/or exploitation of project results (website completion, patents filing, conference, etc.); **OTHER** = Other including coordination

¹⁰ Please indicate the dissemination level using one of the following codes:

PU = Public: fully open, e.g. web; **CO = Confidential:** restricted to consortium, other designated entities (as appropriate) and Commission services; Important: please note that upon approval by the REA Project Officer, the deliverables with Public dissemination level (PU) will be automatically published on [CORDIS](#), the European Commission's primary portal for results of EU-funded research projects. Therefore, make sure the content is appropriate both in terms of quality and confidentiality.

CI = Classified: classified information as intended in [Commission Decision 2001/844/EC](#).

¹¹ Measured in months from the project start date (month 1).

¹² A "lead Beneficiary" must be a Beneficiary (= organisation established in a MS/AC) and cannot be a TC Partner organisation

Table B3b – Milestones list

Number	Title	Related WPs	Lead Beneficiary ¹³	Due Date	Means of Verification ¹⁴

4.2 Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures, including quality management and risk management

Please develop your proposal according to the following lines:

- *Describe the action organisation and management structure, including any relevant elaborations of the role of the coordinator/WP leaders, financial management strategy, as well as the progress monitoring mechanisms put in place.*
- *Elaborate on quality management, relating to the availability of adequate resources of the coordinating organisation in support of the day-to-day management of the project in accordance with the obligations described in the Grant Agreement.*
- *Consider the risks that might endanger reaching the action's objectives and the contingency plans to be put in place should risk occur.*

Table B3c – Risk List

Risk No	Description of Risk	WP Number	Proposed mitigation measures
R1	e.g. delay in planned secondments		

¹³ A "lead Beneficiary" must be a Beneficiary (= organisation established in a MS/AC) and cannot be a TC Partner organisation

¹⁴ Show how the consortium will confirm that the milestone has been attained. Refer to indicators if appropriate. For example: a laboratory prototype completed and running; software released and validated by a user group; field survey complete and data quality validated.

4.3 Appropriateness of the institutional environment (hosting arrangements, infrastructure)

Please develop your proposal according to the following lines:

- Explain the availability of the expertise and human resources, to carry out the proposed research action as well as the hosting arrangements/infrastructure.
- Describe the necessary infrastructures and any major items of technical equipment (if required) relevant to the proposed action.
- **If applicable, include and list in Table B3d** the beneficiaries/Partner organisations that will participate together with other entities under a capital link and shortly describe the legal arrangement and the roles of each affiliated entity in the proposal (i.e. the tasks and the secondments allocated to affiliated entities should be included)

Table B3d – Secondments allocated to affiliated entities

WP	Task name	Staff member profile (ER/ESR/MNG/ADM/TECH)	Beneficiary /Partner organisation short name	Affiliated entity short name	Country of the affiliated entity	Person-months allocated

4.4 Competences, experience and complementarity of the participating organisations and their commitment to the action

Please develop your proposal according to the following lines:

- Describe the adequacy of the consortium to carry out the action by explaining how participating organisations' synergies and complementarities will be exploited.

NB: The individual members of the consortium are described in Section 6. There is no need to repeat that information in this section.

STOP PAGE COUNT – MAX 30 PAGES

5. References

Add all relevant references in a standard scientific citation form.

Example, not to complete

6. Participating organisations

Note that:

- Any inter-relationship between different participating institutions or individuals (e.g. shared premises or facilities, joint ownership, financial interest, overlapping staff or directors, family-ties, etc.) must be declared and justified in this part of the proposal.
- All information provided (including table B4) must be based on current data, not on projections; for the annual turnover, approximations are acceptable and any other additional explanations to help assess operational capacity.
- The data provided relating to the capacity of the participating institutions will be subject to verification during the grant preparation phase.
- The absence of sufficient information in this section may be considered by the REA as a ground to disregard the participation of an organisation based on insufficient operational capacity.

Table B4 – Data for non-academic Beneficiaries

Name	Location of research premises (city/country)	Type of R&I activities	No. of full - time employees involved in the project	No. of employees in R&I	Web site	Annual turnover (approx. in Euro)

 **Important!** This table is mandatory to correctly assess the operational capacity of non-academic beneficiaries.

All organisations (whether Beneficiaries or TC Partner organisations) must complete the appropriate table below. Complete one table of maximum one page per Beneficiary and half a page per TC Partner organisation. The experts will be instructed to disregard content above this limit (Min font size: 9).

Table B5 – Organisations (Beneficiaries and TC Partner organisations) data

Beneficiary (Organisations in EU MS/AC) Legal Name	
General Description	
Role and Profile of key people	Include names, qualifications of the person(s) supervising the action.
Key Research Facilities, Infrastructure and Equipment	Demonstrate that the team has sufficient resources to offer a suitable environment to seconded staff and to significantly contribute to the research/innovation activities proposed.
Independent research premises?	Please explain the status of the Beneficiary's research facilities – i.e. are they owned by the Beneficiary or rented by it? Are its research premises wholly independent from other Beneficiaries and/or TC Partner organisations in the consortium?
Previous Involvement in Research and innovation actions	Describe relevant research/ innovation actions in which the organisation took part
Current involvement in Research and Innovation actions	Describe relevant research/ innovation actions in which the organisation is currently participating
Publications and/or research/innovation products	Max 5

Partner organisations in TC Legal Name	
General Description	
Role and Profile of key people	As above
Key Research Facilities, Infrastructure and Equipment	As above
Do you have independent research premises?	As above
Previous Involvement in Research and innovation actions	As above
Current involvement in Research and Innovation actions	As above
Relevant publications and/or research/innovation products	Max 3

7. Ethics Issues

All research activities in Horizon 2020 should respect fundamental ethics principles, including those reflected in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union¹⁵. These principles include the need to ensure the freedom of research and the need to protect the physical and moral integrity of individuals and the welfare of animals.

Research ethics is of crucial importance for all scientific domains. Informed consent and confidentiality are as important for a sociological study as they are for clinical research.

All proposals considered for funding will be submitted to an Ethics Review procedure.

Ethics Review is part of the overall H2020 Appraisal Scheme and Ethics Review concerns all proposals and actions including Ethics Screening and Ethics Assessment (if necessary). Under the H2020 Ethics Appraisal Scheme, Ethics Checks can be carried out during the action's implementation and for a period of up to two years afterwards.

When preparing a proposal, **it is required to conduct an Ethics Self-assessment** starting with the completion of an Ethics Issues Table (Part A). In this context, please be aware that it is the applicants' responsibility to identify any potential ethics issues, to handle the ethics aspects of their proposal, and to detail how they plan to address them. **Please refer to the Ethics Self-Assessment Guidelines under Horizon 2020**¹⁶.

If you have entered any ethics issues in the ethics issues table in Part A of the proposal, you must submit an ethics self-assessment in Part B2 Section 7.

Your self-assessment must:

1) Describe how the proposal meets the national legal and ethics requirements of the country or countries where the tasks raising ethics issues are to be carried out.

Should your proposal be selected for funding, you will be required to provide the following documents, if they are already in your possession:

- The ethics committee opinion required under national law;
- The document that is mandatory under national law notifying activities raising ethics issues or authorising such activities.

⚠ Important! Note that according to the revised Art. 34.2 Grant Agreement, before the beginning of an activity raising an ethical activity, the appropriate ethics committee opinions required under national law or any notification/authorisation for activities raising ethical issues required under national and/or European law must be obtained. The documents must be kept on file and be submitted upon request to the Executive Agency. If they are not in English, they must be submitted together with an English summary which shows that the action tasks in question are covered and includes the conclusions of the committee or authority concerned.

¹⁵ [Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 2000/C 364/01](#).

See also http://www.europarl.europa.eu/charter/default_en.htm

¹⁶ http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants_manual/hi/ethics/h2020_hi_ethics-self-assess_en.pdf

2) Explain in detail how you intend to address the issues mentioned in the ethics issues table (Part A), in particular as regards:

- Research **objectives** (e.g. study of vulnerable populations, dual use, etc.);
- Research **methodology** (e.g. protection of any personal data collected, consent procedures, involvement of children, clinical trials, etc.);
- The potential **impact** of the research (e.g. dual use issues, environmental damage, stigmatisation of particular social groups, political or financial retaliation, benefit-sharing, malevolent use, etc.).
- Include a table explaining the task and the WP where the activities will be performed to fulfil the ethical requirements.

Make sure to follow the guidance provided in the ethics self-assessment guidance note¹⁷ when addressing the different issues raised by your proposal and keep in mind that all proposals selected for funding will undergo an ethics evaluation that will consider this section.

 **Important!** Please indicate which WP, deliverable, and/or task concerns the ethical issue you describe to avoid any unnecessary confusion during the Ethics Evaluation process.

¹⁷ http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants_manual/hi/ethics/h2020_hi_ethics-self-assess_en.pdf

8. Letters of Commitment of TC Partner organisations

Please use this section to insert scanned copies of signed letters of commitment from TC Partner organisations (see details in Annex 4 of the Guide for Applicants). The Letter of Commitment must explicitly refer to the proposal (call and acronym) as well as to motivate/explain the engagement to implement the secondments planned in the proposal. Please note that the letter must be signed by the legal representative of the concerned institution. Template provided in Annex 6 of the Guide for Applicants.

Example, not to complete

ENDPAGE

MARIE SKŁODOWSKA-CURIE ACTIONS

Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE)
Call: H2020-MSCA-RISE-2020

PART B

"PROPOSAL ACRONYM"