

## **STANDARD PROJECTS 2026 – extract from the Tender Document**

### **Part C1 – justification of Project Proposal**

Filled out in English; a file created outside the application; converted to the PDF format – max. size of 6 MB. The maximum size of this part is 10 pages of A4 format using a standard font 11, single spacing.

#### **Part C1 has a prescribed structure and must include the following:**

- a) a **summary of the current state of knowledge of the subject matter** in the given scientific field, and a description of the Applicant's contribution to date to the research in the given matter and/or related issues;
- b) a statement of the substance and timeliness of the Grant Project, its **aims, methods** including conceptual and methodical procedures, a detailed schedule, and Project phases (project phases and the accomplishment of each aim must be associated with the expected results); where relevant to the Project and the course thereof, **a description of the implications for addressing possible biological differences (sex) or differences in the experience and needs of women and men (gender)**, and/or their interaction; information shall also be included as to **whether the results of the research will be as beneficial, functional and safe as possible for both men and women**;
- c) **identification of the risks** to the achievement of the project results, including the intensity of such risks, their probability, and ways to minimise the risks;
- d) **The Applicant's career development plan**
- e) description of the **contents and extent of international collaboration** planned within the project, if such collaboration is being planned within the Project;
- f) information on the **readiness of the Organization, Co-organizations, and their institutions, and on the equipment to be used for the Project** on-site, and about the opportunities for collaboration; additionally, information about the enforcement of the **principles of responsible research and innovations (RRI)**, if any, including the strategic tools of human potential development and the improvements of **working conditions** (e.g. the assurance of high ethical standards of research, development of gender equity plans and/or measures to improve gender equity within HR Awards, etc.);
- g) **description of the team**; justification of / reasoning behind the participation of other collaborators, professional and other, the definition of their roles in dealing with the subject matter, including the expected aggregate workload of the particular workers;
- h) a brief description of the **research data to be generated, used, and stored** in the course of the Project, and how these data will be handled; in particular, **information on the availability and dissemination of the research results and research data**, in accordance with the principle that research results and **research data are not made public only where justified** (an update shall be provided with each Interim Report and Final Report); the Beneficiary agrees to submit a Data Management Plan (DMP) no later than the date of delivery of the first Interim Report, and to update the DMP periodically as needed;
- i) a brief description of the **potential benefits** of the project and **possible future application potential**;

j) a brief plan of **how the project results will be communicated to their potential users and the public**; in the communication of the project results, the Beneficiary shall mention the Czech Science Foundation as the Provider of grant funding for the Project;

k) references to the **literature** used, we recommend that references to literature used include the Digital Object Identifier (DOI).

**Part C2 – expected project results:**

Verbal description of the result types, with emphasis on quality, as defined in Annex 7 to this Tender Document which are expected to be published as part of the Grant Project (articles in leading international journals, monographs, articles in international proceeding etc.).

Articles which may be recognized as results of the project shall only be those which have been published in journals with IF (Jimp type result), in specialised periodical (Jsc, Jost type results), which are monographs (B type result), chapters in monographs (C type result) and articles in proceedings registered in the Scopus database or in the Web of Science Conference Proceedings Citation database (D type result); see Annex 7 to this Tender Document for a description of the types of results.

**4.3. Evaluation of the professional standard of the Project Proposal**

During the evaluation of the proposals, the following elements shall be assessed simultaneously:

a) **Originality and innovativeness** of the scientific project and its **contribution to the scientific field**;

b) **competence and qualifications of the Applicant** to carry out the Grant Project, wherein the professional skills of those individuals shall be assessed as well as their creative contributions in their scientific field vis-à-vis the focus of the Project Proposal, taking into account their research and experimental development results to date;

c) Potential of the grant project to **contribute to the career development** and continued stay of the proposer in the research field

During the **evaluation of the quality and standard of the Grant Project Proposal**, the following criteria shall be assessed from the viewpoint of the professional focus of the panel to which the proposal has been submitted:

a) **design of the solution**: the extent to which the proposed scientific approach is feasible with a reasonable degree of risk, the extent to which the proposed scientific methods and working practices are appropriate to achieve the project's intent, the extent to which the proposal includes the development of a new method, the extent to which the proposed approximate timing of the solution the project, the resources and the commitment of the proposer are reasonable and properly justified.

b) the expected **quality of the project outputs**;

c) **international cooperation** – if relevant;

d) **Project costs**, in particular an assessment of the reasonableness of the proposed costs in relation to the proposal of the project and the expected results, the reasonableness of the individual items proposed of the cost items and compliance with the scope and definition of eligible costs as required by the tender of the tender documentation.

e) **Gender dimension in the research content** - assessing whether it is in the research topic

relevance to consider possible biological differences (gender) or differences in experience and needs of women and men (gender), or their interactions, and whether the research findings will be as useful, functional and safe as possible for both men and women.

e) process, outputs and ways of carrying out any previous grant projects carried out by the **Organization and** if they have ever carried out any such grant project using funds granted by the Provider; any previous violation of the rules by the Organization and Applicant in the management of the targeted funds granted in the past, satisfaction of all obligations defined in the Agreement or the Decision on Grant Funding, the interim and final evaluations of such Grant Projects, if any, shall be taken into account.

#### **4.4. Evaluation of the Proposed Costs**

The following elements shall be assessed within the evaluation of proposed costs:

- a) appropriateness of the proposed costs, and the amount of workload, in relation to the Project Proposal and its anticipated results;
- b) legitimacy of individual items of the proposed costs;
- c) proportion of funds requested from the Provider in the total amount of proposed costs (i.e. the Proportion of Funding from the Provider);
- d) satisfaction of the requirements for the volume and definition of eligible costs pursuant to article 3.2. (5) to (8) and Article 3.3. of this Tender Document.